

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Tradenjark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,327	12/04/2001	Andre Colens	COL 207	6990	
9629	7590 12/22/2004	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP			PETRAVICK, MEREDITH C		
	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3671		
			DATE MAILED: 12/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• /	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/009,327	COLENS, ANDRE					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Meredith C Petravick	3671					
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -							
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	ion.				
706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The apportion or in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ıs.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-17,20,22 and 23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:		m. Cetu	C				
·		Meredith C Petravi Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3671	ck				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant presents a new combination of the limitations of previous claims 12-13, 17-21. Previously, claims 13, 17-21 all depended from claim 12. This combination was not previously present and considered. Therefore, further consideration would be required.